

California Trail Users Coalition

Comments submitted by the OHMVR Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- Applicant appears to include information from Angeles National Forest. Applicant may want to verify and correct.

Ground Operations	G09-04-06-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Equipment Use Expense – The rate listed appears high. Costs associated with Equipment acquired with Grantee’s funds and used for an OHV Project shall be charged on a use basis in accordance with the Applicant’s local fair market rental rates but shall never exceed the Grantee’s actual costs 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – The narrative does not support responses. The applicant may want to provide further details. • #3 – The narrative does not support “installing or repairing erosion control features”. The applicant may want to provide further details. • #4 – The narrative does not support “publicly noticed meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Project”. The applicant may want to provide further details. • #5 – The applicant cannot list itself as a partner. • #6 – The narrative does not support “Providing bridges instead of wet crossings where appropriate” and “Site design precludes the need for the above measures”. The applicant may want to provide further details. 	